Tunnel Design and Construction Practice: Technical Solutions in Swelling Ground

Motivation

subject of the presentation:

- to identify effects of time-dependent deformations due to swelling based on design and construction experiences of large infrastructure projects:

  • Bosruck Road Tunnel, Austria:
    5.5 km long base tunnel - anhydrite swelling
    NATM with stiff lining concept

  • Pfänder Road Tunnel, Austria:
    6.6 km road tunnel – argillaceous clay shale swelling
    TBM with segmental / CIP lining concept

  • Niagara Tunnel, Canada
    10.4 km water diversion tunnel in clay shales
    swelling design for lifetime of structure
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Introduction

■ Terminology

• time-dependent deformation described as “rock squeeze” and “swelling”
  – however, processes are often interrelated,
  – individual effects of each are difficult to distinguish.
• presentation focuses on “swelling of rock”
  time dependent volume increase due to a physico- (and/or) chemical reaction of the rock with water.
• swelling mechanisms distinguished in:
  – swelling of argillaceous clay shales / marls
  – swelling of anhydritic rock formations.

■ Significance of Swelling for tunnel construction

• success of design and construction of a tunnel related to:
  – the knowledge of geological environment,
  – rock mass parameters, overburden thickness,
  – in-situ stress field, tunnel size and shape, etc.
• long-term deformation often governs the final lining design.
• geotechnical baseline reports often propose unrealistic high swelling pressures:
  – due to simply false test results
  – misunderstandings of swelling processes
  overestimations are easily decisive as “go/no-go criteria”
  can be a significant factor for the economic efficiency
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Introduction

Significance of Swelling for tunnel construction

- Swelling is not only affected by ground conditions such as rock mass properties, in-situ stress field, supply of water

- Swelling is also strongly influenced by
  - the method of excavation (NATM versus TBM),
  - the construction stages (full face, fast ring closure, heading stages, etc.),
  - tunnel geometry (flat invert versus circular profile and support elements).

Design Relevant Swelling Pressure

- swelling pressure in lab tests versus in-situ swelling pressure
  - geomechanical characterization is difficult
  - test and evaluation methods are challenging

- design relevant swelling pressure depends on:
  - quality of samples:
    - avoid drying process
    - avoiding volume changes
  - scaling effect lab / in-situ
  - stiffness relation tunnel lining / surrounding rock
  - effective water conditions
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Introduction

**Applicability of Calculation Methods**

- Numerous calculation methods:
  - time-independent models
  - rheological models
  - coupled (two-phase) models
- highly sophisticated constitutive laws to account for swelling phenomenon

- weak point:
  - identification of key parameters for such swelling laws
  - "scaling effect" does not allow to use test results directly
- alternatives:
  - use of empirical load" or "volume change" approaches

---
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**Content**

- History of Tunneling at Bosruck
- Railway Tunnel
- Motorway Tunnel 1st tube
- Dangers & Rehabilitation
- Design of Motorway 2nd tube
- Excavation works - Status
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■ Introduction

- Construction works of 2nd tube of Bosruck road tunnel started in December 2009

- Road tunnel on the A9 Pyhrn motorway

- 4th tube under the Bosruck massiv with a more than 100-year history of tunneling

■ History

- First discussions of a tunnel under the Bosruck tunnel in 1848

- Project failed due to an alternative route passing St. Valentin ("Kronprinz Rudolf Bahn – Gesellschaft")

- Trieste developed being a economic centre due to the loss of Venice (1866)

- 1873 economic crisis → no more interest in Pyhrn-tunnel project
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**History**

- Due to national interest to improve the importance of Trieste a governmental plan for the construction of the Pyhrn-tunnel was pushed.
- The Bosruck tunnel Project has been estimated to be rather cheap.
- Cost estimate 1901: 12 Mio. Kronen

---

Construction of the 4766 m long single track railway tunnel started 1901

- Many violent inrushes of up to 1100 l/s of water into the tunnel

- KuK supervision and contractors representatives
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History

• 2300 workers from the Austro-Hungarian monarchy lived together in small villages

• Flooding of site facilities in the North portal area

• A methane explosion cost 14 miners their lives. 2 workers died during rescue assistance.

• Tunneling through November 1905
• Tunnel has been completed in 1906
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**History**

- Total costs: 20.7 Mio Kronen
- Cost overrun of 80%
- Nevertheless, project supported Trieste being most important central european port till 1914
- 1977 Pyhrn railway lining has been electrified

**Geology**

- Eastern part of the central alps
- Carbonate stock consists of massive and bedded limestone and dolomites
- Basal shale zones (Haselgebirge) consisting of slate, dolomite-anhydrite, marl and limestone
- To the south lies the Admonter zone consisting mostly of sandstone, claystones, siltstones and quartzite
**Overview – road tunnel Bosruck**

- 1978 start of pilot tunnel South
- 1979 start of pilot tunnel North
- 1982 1st tube break through
- 1983 start of traffic 1st tube

**1st tube road tunnel (construction 1980 – 1983)**

- Positive properties experienced during tunneling through Haselgebirge-formation
- Only light support has been installed
- Only small deformations recognized during excavation
- About a month after first top heading pass first spalling occurred to the structure
- Proved impossible to stop the creep movement that has started
- Inner lining (CIP) installed 1983
- Since 1994 repair works necessary
Continuous rehabilitation works

- 1994 start of intensive measurements
- 1994 systematic additional anchoring
- 1996 / 1998 replacement of the roof in block 425 and 424 (TM 600)
- 1998 – 2000 installing additional monitoring equipment (sliding micrometer, extensometer) TM 600
- 2001 installation of a “safety gear” against “spalling anchors”
- 2002 replacement of the roof in block 426 (TM 600)
- Continuous road rehabilitation
- Rehabilitation of the ventilation & dewatering tunnel

Feasibility study

- Guaranteeing serviceability
- Guaranteeing ultimate limit state
- Guaranteeing traffic safety
- Reduction of rehabilitation works
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Results of feasibility study

- Original concept listed construction 2nd tube in 2021
- Feasibility study: 2nd tube to be ready in 2015 rehabilitation of 1st tube 2016
- Road rehabilitation (asphalt instead of concrete)
- Rehabilitation drainage system
- Stress controller to avoid damage to roofs due to movements

Design and Rehabilitation Contract
Tunnel Design and Construction Practice: Technical Solutions in Swelling Ground
A9 Bosruck 2nd road tunnel, Austria

- Geology: Haselgebirge-formation

- Monitoring Data from 2001 - ongoing
  - Continuous interpretation
  - Deformation rate of max. 6 mm / year !!
  - Danger of loss of stability
Potential causes

- Rock formation:
  - Haselgebirge formation and Anhydrite are highly sensitive to water
  - Haselgebirge formation starts softening
  - Anhydrite is subject to swelling
  - Due to swelling/squeezing processes high rock loads develop

- Construction deficiencies:
  - Inadequate drainage system
  - Existing damage to lining and drainage system
  - Continuous watering of rock
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Design methodology for Haselgebirge-formation

- damages occurred in the 1st tube & conclusions regarding the swelling/squeezing behaviour exploited for the design and construction of the second tube
- To cope with expected ground behaviour a circular cross section is applied → “resistance principle”
- 2-step approach for the design:
  1. Back calculation 1st tube section
  2. Design calculation 2nd tube (new)

Back calculation TM 600

- Geometry 1st tube (representative section)
  - Excavation area 120 m²
  - Shotcrete lining 8 – 30 cm (chosen 18 cm)
  - CIP final lining 36 – 112 cm (chosen 74 cm)
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- Back calculation TM 600
  - FE-model at station TM 600

- Construction sequences
  - Construction pilot tunnel (drainage & ventilation)
  - 1st tube excavation (top heading / bench / invert)
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Results of back calculation

• Extensometer and sliding micrometer measurements compared to results of back calculation

Simulation of calculated deformations:
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**Design of 2nd tube**

- Typical cross section Haselgebirge-formation
  - circular profile
  - excavation area 130 m²
  - Shotcrete lining 30 cm with yielding elements
  - CIP final lining with 60 – 70 cm thickness
  - invert arch with a min. thickness of 130 cm

**Status of Construction**

- by end of 2010 excavation from the North advanced through the entire Haselgebirge formation.
- excavation from South mainly been performed in silt stone, sand stone and clay stone (Werfen beds).
- According to current time schedule: excavation works finished by end of January 2012
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**Experience from Design & Construction**

- Critical section designed with
  - a circular shape
  - providing an excavation sequence with a rapid ring closure

- Stiff lining concept (i.e. resistant invert arch) has been chosen:
  - Dimensions are based on the back-analyses results of the 1st tube.

- Uncertainties due to limited knowledge about the swelling / squeezing mechanisms
  - Eliminated as much as possible based on experiences gained so far in tunnelling in the Bosruck Massiv during the last 100 years.

---
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Pfänder Tunnel 2nd road tunnel, Austria

**Content**

- Project overview
- Back calculation 1st tube
- Results of back analysis
- Design aspects 2nd tube
- Conclusions
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**Project overview**

- First Tube:
  - under operation since 1980
  - L = 6.718 m

- Second tube:
  - under construction since 10/2007
  - L = 6.586 m

- Drill and Blast (NATM)
- Shield TBM

Double Shell Linings

Shotcrete / Cast concrete

Segmental lining / cast concrete
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Project overview

- Geology: Molasse

- Laboratory investigations for first tube

  - max. content of montmorillonite: 7 %
  - max. free swelling pressure: 3.5 MN/m²
  - max. free swelling heave: 17.8 %
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**Back analysis 1st tube**

- Moderately stiff resistance

  - Invert design: 400 mm reinforced invert
  - Criterium for anchorage: heave exceeding 5mm / month
  - Durable anchorage: 52 % typ I = 0.13 MN/m²

  ![Diagram of invert design and anchoring system](image)

- Simulation of swelling behavior:

  - Volumetric strains applied to swelling zone
    - Swelling zone defined based on monitoring results of the 1st tube
    - Swelling strain applied in two stages with variable distribution
Back analysis 1st tube

Simulation of swelling behavior

Assumptions:

- Depth of swelling zone: ½ tunnel diameter
- Max. strain: at center of tunnel
- Strain distribution: decreasing towards sidewall

Comparison of analyses / measurements

- Result of back analysis:
  50% swelling after invert construction
  50% swelling after anchoring

- Max. swelling strain:
  0.22% after invert construction
  0.27% after anchoring
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**Result of back analysis**

- max. swelling pressure
  200 kPa, sickle-shaped distribution
- max. pressure at abutment

**Design Aspects 2nd tube**

- Cross Section – Drill and Blast (NATM)
  - Semi Stiff Lining Concept:
    - deep invert (optimized)
    - invert thickness min. 70 cm
    - no anchorage
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- **Design Aspects 2nd tube**

  - Drill and Blast (NATM)
    - Swelling pressure during final stage: 250 to 450 kPa
    - Sickle-shaped distribution
    - Maximum Pressure at abutment

- **Cross Section – Shield TBM**
  - Profile lining segments: concrete 28 cm
  - Invert lining segment 55 cm
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**Design Aspects 2nd tube**

- Shield TBM
  - Swelling pressure during construction max. 300 kPa
  - Uniform distribution
  - Zero at abutment

Total swelling pressure after installation of final lining max. 500 kPa
- Uniform distribution
- Zero at abutment
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**Design Aspects 2nd tube**

- Shield TBM awarded

Conclusion:

- Swelling pressure back calculated from drill and blast = safe side
- Lining capacity depends on subgrade reaction
- High swelling pressure requires grouting of pea gravel

**Status of Construction**

- TBM excavation initiated in September 2008
- in November 2009 TBM breakthrough took place
- sequential excavation works were completed in July 2010
- concreting works are expected to be completed in late 2011
- second tube is scheduled to be opened to traffic by mid-2012 (as is the start of the rehabilitation works for the first tube).
Experience from Design & Construction

- 1st tube of the Pfänder Tunnel:
  - high swelling pressures caused damage to the invert slab
  - required a permanent anchorage of the reinforced invert slab
  - used to optimise the invert design for the 2nd tube.

- stresses acting on the tunnel invert depend greatly on:
  - tunnel geometry (shape, size)
  - support resistance of the invert.

- presumed swelling strain model:
  - able to yield reasonable swelling stresses (max. swelling stress and distribution)
  - if magnitude of swelling strain can be back-calculated from measured data

Content

- Project Overview
- Key Design Aspects
- Chloride Diffusion Analysis
- Rock Swelling Analysis
- Conclusions
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Project Overview

- 10.4 km water diversion tunnel
- 14.4 m diameter excavation
- discharge 500 m³/s
- Overburden of approx. 140 m underpassing a glacial gorge filled with sediments
Canada launches world’s largest hard-rock TBM

A CEREMONY was held on August 1 to celebrate the official start-up of the largest hard-rock TBM in existence for the Niagara Tunnel Project. The 7.4-m (24 ft) diameter Robbins TBM will be sent to Niagara Falls, Ontario, and is currently under way.

The completion of the project comes several months ahead of an aggressive 20-month schedule. The project was launched in September 2018 by Canada’s Power Generation (PGG), and the Niagara Tunnel is scheduled to be completed by 2020.

The new TBM was designed specifically for the Niagara area, with a focus on maximizing efficiency and reducing environmental impact. The project is expected to boost the local economy and create thousands of jobs.

The TBM will be used to construct two tunnels, one for power generation and the other for water diversion. The power tunnel will be used to transport hydroelectric power, while the water tunnel will be used to divert water for agricultural and environmental purposes.

Key Design Aspects

- Tunnel lining concept
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Key Design Aspects

- Geological / geotechnical model

- Creep / squeezing conditions
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**Key Design Aspects**

- high content of chloride
- Chloride ions concentration changes
- swelling potential due to reduction of chlorides

**Chloride Diffusion Analysis**

- Shales with high chloride contents swell if concentration of chloride ions in pore fluid of rock mass is reduced
  - assumption: initiation of swelling for a 2% reduction
- question:
  - How do boundary conditions govern penetration depth of diffusion front into surrounding rock over a period of 90 years?
- scope:
  - investigate influence of materials’ diffusion coefficients and shotcrete thickness on penetration depth of 2% reduction front
Chloride Diffusion Analysis

- Physical Background
  - Diffusion within pore fluid from zones with higher concentration (in-situ rock mass) to lower concentration (tunnel circumference)

- 4 layers: final lining, waterproofing membrane, shotcrete and rock, applied at different excavation stages
  - Influence diffusion gradient
  - Consideration of multiple-phase-medium necessary

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = -D \left( \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial C}{\partial r} \right)
\]

with chloride concentration \( C \), time \( t \), coefficient of diffusion \( D \) and radial coordinate \( r \)

- Solution with two-dimensional Finite Difference Scheme (MATLAB) Point 2.2

- Discretisation of the time variable \( t_j \) (\( j=1\ldots m \)) with increments \( \Delta t = 0.1 \text{ d} \) and spatial variable \( r_i \) (\( i=1\ldots n \)) with increments \( \Delta r = 1.0 \text{ cm} \)

- Integration with an implicit “forward time, centred space” scheme
Chloride Diffusion Analysis

- geometry of the multiphase system

- modelling section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Thickness (m)</th>
<th>Diffusion Coefficient (cm²/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final lining</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shotcrete lining</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock</td>
<td>14.000</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Chloride Diffusion Analysis**

- time discretisation
  - calculations from \( t_1 = 0 \) (start of the tunnel excavation) to \( t_{\text{end}} = 33,580 \) d (construction time + lifetime of the structure)
  - for the first 2 years (= 730 days) only layers 3 and 4 active
  - after that layers 1 and 2 activated and change in chloride concentration calculated for an additional 90 years

**Evaluation of Results**

- Distribution of chloride concentration after 2 years
Chloride Diffusion Analysis

- Evaluation of Results
  - Distribution of chloride concentration after 90 years
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■ Rock Swelling Analysis

• Calculation procedure of swelling

1. DIFFUSION ANALYSIS

2. STRESS ANALYSIS

3. DESIGN LAW FOR SWELLING

4. ANISOTROPIC SWELLING STRAINS

• Calculation Results

BOUNDARIES

- $d_0 = 850 \text{ mm}$
- $f'(28 \text{ days}) = 30 \text{ MPa}$
- $f'(140 \text{ days}) = 30 \text{ MPa}$
- Long term grouting pressure $= 0.65 \text{ MPa}$
- Regular load combination

TOTAL SWELLING STRAINS (90 YEARS)

SECTIONAL FORCES AFTER 90 YEARS

- Moment
- Normal Force

DESIGN CHECK (90 YEARS OPERATING TIME)
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**Rock Swelling Analysis**

- Calculation Results

**Status of Construction**

- September 2005 official ground breaking ceremonies

- TBM excavation initiated in 2006/2007

- End of 2010 the TBM has reached 9,200 meters:
  - TBM is approximately 68 meters below the surface of the Niagara River and is mining an average of 9.7 meters daily

- At 9,846 meters TBM will breakthrough into the existing grout tunnel

- Project to be completed in 2013.
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Experience from Design & Construction

• design swelling pressure may correspond to the final equilibrium state expected in the long term  
  -> swelling potential exhausted.

• for long-term, swelling design can be optimised  
  -> expected pressure at the end of the intended lifetime

• for the project a reduction of chloride concentration by 2 % is defined as the extent of swell initiation  
  -> "diffusion front"

• based on differential equations for diffusion  
  a robust and fast numerical model developed  
  -> estimate the potential and order of magnitude of swelling  
  (spatial and timely distribution)  
  for the intended lifetime of the tunnel structure.

Conclusions

experiences gained in the projects can be used to make the following statements:

• essential for reducing the swelling is preventing the introduction of water.  
  -> avoid any water ingress into the rock formation.  
  -> rock surface shall be immediately sealed with shotcrete when using NATM.  
  -> in case of TBM drives, it is important to avoid importing water into tunneling works.

• to minimize existing water from ingressing into the surrounding rock  
  -> chose favourable cross sections  
  -> preventing the formation of water routes (especially in edges)

• selecting preventive construction sequences:  
  -> invert ring closure close to the excavation face  
  -> by NATM fast ring closure  
  -> by TBM shield tunnelling with immediately installed precast segments.
Conclusions

• laboratory swelling tests lead to an overestimation of swelling pressures and strains:
  -> reason could be sample disturbance (strength, stiffness, stress state, etc.)
  -> scaling factor between the macro behaviour in the rock mass and the meso behaviour in the lab tests

• tunnelling in swelling ground is not a problem that has been solved at all.

• no criteria exist for the selection of a lining principle.

• due to uncertainties in describing swelling behaviour there is a great need for research:
  -> in laboratory testing (taking the long periods of observation into account)
  -> developing more realistic and adequate constitutive swelling laws.

Thank you for your attention!
MOVIE
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